Answers to Pause and Reflect Boxes for Chapter 8 Historical Linguistics Laura Grestenberger

Pause and Reflect 8.1

If you're a native speaker of some varieties of North American English, you may have noticed differences in the pronunciation of the diphthongs in *out*, *house*, *ice*, *write*, etc., i.e., *Canadian raising*, or the *I'm done my homework*-construction (instead of *I've done my homework*). Many varieties of British English pronounce stops as glottal stops in medial and final position (e.g., ['wɔ:?ə] *water* and [bɔ:?] *bought*). You've probably noticed many lexical differences like *soda* vs. *pop* or even the use of *coke* to refer to *any carbonated soft drink*.

Pause and Reflect 8.2

- /bajt/ *bite*
- /p.iawd/ proud
- /laws/ louse
- /lajk/ like
- /awt/ out

Pause and Reflect 8.3

- /tʃuz/ choose
- /jild/ yield
- /gold/ gold
- /tfin/ *chin*
- /fajld/ child

Pause and Reflect 8.4

1) $2 \ge 0$ (glottal stops are lost), 2) $k \ge 2$ (voiceless velar stops turn into glottal stops).

1) must have taken place before 2), since otherwise Hawaiian would not have any glottal stops (if k > 2, and then 2 > 0, *all* glottal stops would have been lost).

Pause and Reflect 8.5

- i. Syncope
- ii. f > (h >) Ø, syncope, apocope
- iii. Monophthongization, apocope
- iv. Progressive assimilation (consonant cluster assimilation in place & manner of articulation)
- v. Regressive assimilation (consonant cluster assimilation in place & manner of articulation).
 - The change of e to i is called vowel raising.

Pause and Reflect 8.6

i. This is deductive change, or rule extension. The productive plural rule (by which the singular and the plural form are the same, except in contexts in which plural /-z/ surfaces through liaison) has been extended to a new context, or rather: the irregular plural has been lost. This type of change is also called analogy.

ii. You'll probably get the regular, productive plural forms *octopuses*, *rhinoceroses* besides *octopi*, *rhinoceri*, which are the "latinate" plurals. Note that both words are originally Greek, so the expected plurals would be *octopodes*, *rhinocerotes*. Feel free to point this out to anybody who insists that *octopi* is a "better" English plural than *octopuses*. The reason these words are difficult to pluralize is because their phonetic shape is similar to other latinate loan words in *us/-os* (e.g., *cactus*), which tend to have a synchronically irregular plural in *-i*. Since this plural is not productive, however, speakers may instead apply the productive English plural /-(ə)z/.

Pause and Reflect 8.7

This is a reflection question.

Pause and Reflect 8.8

i. Phonological reduction, weakening: the *go*-auxiliary can be phonologically reduced (*going* to > gonna), but the second example shows that this is not possible with the lexical verb.

ii. The *go*-auxiliary is less restricted than the suffix -ly, since it has not yet been grammaticalized to an affix/a bound morpheme. However, it is more restricted in its distribution than the lexical verb *go*, since it can only occur in future contexts (it is a tense marker), while the lexical verb can occur in a variety of meanings and contexts (past, present, future, etc.).

Pause and Reflect 8.9

In (i), there is a word order difference with respect to the position of the lexical verb and the direct object: Old English has OV order (*had* [*their king*]_{OBJ} *overthrown*_V), while Modern English has VO order (*had overthrown*_V [*their king*]_{OBJ}).

In (ii), you can observe that the finite verb *for* 'went' comes before the subject "the same army", so Old English allowed VS order ("*(here) went the same army*"), while Modern English has SV word order ("*(here) the same army went*...").

Pause and Reflect 8.10

- i. Pejoration
- ii. Pejoration
- iii. Metaphor, metaphorical use
- iv. Semantic broadening (refers to all birds, not just young ones)

v. Semantic narrowing (refers to a particular type of woman, not to women in general)

Pause and Reflect 8.11

Die came to mean *cease (to exist)* in sentences with *yŏu*, which was further grammaticalized to *not exist* (see **semantic bleaching**). In other words, *méi* lost its lexical semantics and became semantically more abstract and functional, eventually becoming reduced to the abstract feature [NEG] (negation).

Pause and Reflect 8.12

The tree should look something like this:



Majority wins is not helpful because we have the same number of languages for either option (p vs. f), although if we added more Semitic languages to the picture, we would see more languages with the p-outcome. The above reconstruction therefore has to rely on phonetic naturalness: p > f is a very common sound change (cp. the discussion of **lenition**). In general, plosives are more likely to turn into fricatives than the other way around.

Pause and Reflect 8.13

i. **fo:t* or **fu:t*. OE, OHG and Dutch all have a long vowel or diphthong. We've already seen that diphthongs can arise from monophthongs (diphthongization), so it's likely that Proto-Germanic had a long vowel (we could also assume a Proto-Germanic diphthong and assume **monophthongization** in OE and Yiddish, but note that the OHG and Middle Dutch diphthongs vary a bit). The comparison with other Indo-European languages shows that the vowel was /o:/ rather than /u:/. The final consonant must have been **t*, since it's more likely for a plosive to become a fricative than the other way around (note that majority wins is not helpful here).

ii. **apel* or **apəl*. The affricate in OHG is secondary; and most languages have a low instead of a mid front vowel at the beginning of the word. The actual Proto-Germanic reconstruction is *ap(a)la-, but you don't see any evidence of the final vowel in this data set.

iii. **bad*. Most of the languages point to an original low vowel. The final consonant is trickier; based only on the data above you would probably pick a voiced stop (voiced stops tend to become voiceless word-finally, so this would also work for Dutch). The actual Proto-Germanic reconstruction is **ba9a*-, based on evidence from other Germanic (and other Indo-European) languages.

iv. **finf* or **fimf*. It's more phonetically natural to assume that OE and Dutch lost the nasal with compensatory lengthening than to assume that OHG and Yiddish acquired a nasal out of

thin air. The usual Proto-Germanic reconstruction is **fimfe*, again based on more comparative evidence.